

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATIO		
10/696,342	10/29/2003	John C. Montagna	FRAME-59X	FRAME-59X 8078	
7590 09/02/2004			EXAMINER		
Christopher John Rudy			PEDDER, DENNIS H		
Ste. 8 209 Huron Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Port Huron, MI 48060			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/696,34	2	MONTAGNA ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Dennis H.	Pedder	3612					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -									
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date 10/29/2003.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The term "cam-follower bearing" is not understood from the disclosure, which appears to detail only a roller. As no definition is given for the term "French F-channel", this term is not understood from the disclosure.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As no definition is given for the term "French F-channel", this term is not understood in context.

"Cam-follower bearing" appears to be an error for a --roller--.

Claim 3 lacks antecedent for "the rail", line 5 as several rails are supported.

Claim 4 lacks clear antecedent for "parallel rails" as in --said rail--. "Subcombination" is not understood.

"And so forth" in claims 5-8 is an indefinite term.

"Pin or needle bearings" details alternative structure, contrary to statute.

Claims 17-22 are directed to a combination of the claimed pull out system and a vehicle, which claims do not limit the pull out system and should therefore be rewritten as independent claims.

# Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 8, line 1, the fax copy did not transmit at what appears to be the word --needle--.

Appropriate correction is required.

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is excessively lengthy. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Application/Control Number: 10/696,342

Art Unit: 3612

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1-4, 11-22, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Darbishire, US 6,328,364.

Darbishire has the inverted F-channel, the inverted U-channel, with the J-channel shown being a U with an extended side 211, figure 8, and rollers 113 and 250, disclosed as needle or ball rollers.

See parallel stationary rails 110 with rollers, and movable parallel rails 200 with load bearing surface at upper side thereof, claim 4.

As to claim 15, Darbishire has support members 220.

As to claim 16, see accessory 300, considered a drawer, claim 28.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/696,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 5-10, 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darbishire in view of Tognetti.

Darbishire has all claimed features less the "stabilizing base foot". However, it was known in this art prior to the invention of applicant to stabilize a roller support via a symmetrical arrangement of base feet as taught by Tognetti at 50, 52, and 80 in figure 3. Such an arrangement precludes upward forces on a non-symmetrical base foot at one side of the track. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Darbishire a stabilizing base foot as taught by Tognetti in order to better support a roller track. As to claim 9, Darbishire shows two rollers with a third on each rail being an obvious expedient to reduce roller load.

As to claim 10, see above comments regarding extra rollers.

11. Claim 26 is further and claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darbishire in view of Clark et al. or vice-versa.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Darbishire a ramp slideout as taught by Tognetti in order to more easily load the vehicle. Conversely, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Tognetti the rails and rollers of Darbishire in order to carry additional load.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/696,342

Art Unit: 3612

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Gehman et al. show further base feet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178.

The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-freg).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

9/1/04

Page 6

Art Unit 3612

DHP 9/1/2004